

Derek Neale

From: Derek Neale <Derek.Neale@hnzc.co.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, 20 June 2018 10:38 a.m.
To: Derek Neale
Cc: Derek Neale
Subject: FW: RE: Meth testing
Attachments: image001.jpg

-----Original Message-----

From: Rachel Kelly [<mailto:rachel.kelly@hnzc.co.nz>];
Sent: 21/03/2017 8:33:48 AM
To: EXT Megan McKay [<mailto:Megan.McKay@parliament.govt.nz>];
Subject: RE: Meth testing

All good – I can answer some of this. A key point to make is that we have a representative on the Standards Committee and will be part of the decision making.

Minister has asked if HNZ has considered using just one level for testing. She understands that the standards are being considered at the moment and that this is one option. If not it would be good to understand why.

- HNZ has considered raising the threshold it uses for its tenancies ahead of the Standard's Committee's report this year. We sought independent scientific opinion, however, HNZ could not establish a consensus amongst appropriately qualified experts. It was also considered that unilaterally using our own interim guideline would open HNZ to significant reputational risk.
- HNZ continues to support the current Standards through the Committee (of which HNZ is a member).

Also Minister asked what we do if we suspect a property has been used for manufacturing but not proven, what level would we use then.

- If a property is known to have been used for manufacturing meth (for example Police advise us of this, or staff see meth cooking supplies in a property), then we will decontaminate to the lower level of .05 $\mu\text{g}/100\text{cm}^2$ as recommended by the Ministry of Health. If we do not have evidence that meth was manufactured, then we will decontaminate to the guideline of 1.5 $\mu\text{g}/100\text{cm}^2$.
- The Standard's Committee is considering two sets of guidelines, one of which would be a single level of clean-up for properties where meth was used OR manufactured. Operationally, this would be easier for HNZ to implement, and provide clarity for HNZ staff and contractors as to what is considered safe in our properties.

Thanks

Rach


Housing New Zealand Corporation

Rachel Kelly

Manager Government
Relations

DDI: (04) 439 3170

Mobile: s9(2)(a)

Freephone: 0800 801 601 | Mainline: (04) 439 3000 | Housing New Zealand Corporation
Te Kaporeihana ā Whare o Aotearoa | PO Box 2628 Wellington 6140 | New Zealand Government

From: Megan McKay [<mailto:Megan.McKay@parliament.govt.nz>]
Sent: Tuesday, 21 March 2017 8:09 a.m.
To: Rachel Kelly
Subject: RE: Meth testing

I really don't know, I kind of misunderstood what she was asking on the suspected manufacturing, I was thinking it would be obvious so the call would be easy but think Min was meaning if not proven.

From: Rachel Kelly <rachel.kelly@hnzc.co.nz>

Date: Tuesday, 21 Mar 2017, 7:52 AM

To: Megan McKay <Megan.McKay@parliament.govt.nz>

Subject: RE: Meth testing

Do you think she will ask this at the meeting at 9am? Or wait for the advice to come through.

From: Megan McKay [<mailto:Megan.McKay@parliament.govt.nz>]
Sent: Tuesday, 21 March 2017 7:49 a.m.
To: GRU Jobs
Cc: Rachel Kelly; Carla Hemmes
Subject: Meth testing

Sorry team yesterday was manic so didn't get a chance to send this through.

Minister has asked if HNZ has considered using just one level for testing. She understands that the standards are being considered at the moment and that this is one option. If not it would be good to understand why.

Also Minister asked what we do if we suspect a property has been used for manufacturing but not proven, what level would we use then.

Thanks

Megan